Table of Events Leading up to the Accident

Time	Vessel B s distance a from Vesse	bearing and s observed I A	Ves	ssel A	Ves	sel B
(nrs:mins)	Bearing	Distance	Pilot A	Master A, C/O A, 3/O A and Cadet A	Master B	Navigation Officer B
05 : 00 Approx.			Boarded south of Tomogashima Channel. Started discussing pilotage plan with Master A. Instructed Nav. Full up to 18.0 kts.	Master A Received pilotage plan instructions from Pilot A.		
			Bridge: Master A, Pilot A, C/	O A, Cadet A and AB A	Bridge: Maste Officer B	r B, Navigation and AB B
06 : 10 Approx.			From past experience as a pilot, he assumed the crew of Vessel to		Departed Osaka RC-4 (Kobe	a bound for Kobe Rokko Island)
06 : 31 Approx.			be trustworthy. Assumed that Master A had a shared understanding of the navigation plan.			Informed port ra- dio via VHF of the approximate time he would be pass- ing through the breakwater to RC- 4. Obtained infor- mation (e.g. vessel anchorage) from Vessel B.
06 : 35 Approx.			Instructed to gradually reduce the speed to S/B Full in the port			
06 : 44 ~ 45 Approx.	<057>	7.08 nautical miles	Informed port radio via VHF of the approximate time he would be passing through the breakwater to RC-7. Obtained information from Vessel B. Did not report it to Master A. Visually confirmed Vessel B			
06 : 50 Approx.	<062>	4.69 nautical miles			Confirmed the Vessel A (at bow and distance ap- proximately at 4.0 nautical miles) and started look- out of the move- ment via radar and visually.	

Time	Vessel B s distance a from Vesse	bearing and s observed I A	Ve	ssel A	Ves	sel B
(nrs:mins)	Bearing	Distance	Pilot A	Master A, C/O A, 3/O A and Cadet A	Master B	Navigation Officer B
06 : 52 Approx.			3/0 A ascended and manned th 3/0 A ascended and manned th	e bridge to take over from C/O A he bridge to take over from C/O A	Steered to star- board heading for Kobe Central Fairway.	
06 : 53 Approx.	<067>	3.49 nautical miles		Master A visually confirmed Vessel B at approximately 25.0 degrees on its starboard bow. Because Master A did not hear from the Pilot that Vessel B would head for Kobe Central Fairway, he assumed that there would be no risk of collision judging by the his vessel's relative position with the other ship and that it would be heading in a southwest direction (Outgoing Osaka Bay). Started discussing port entry work with the C/O. A	While steering to starboard, instructed a course of <290>	
06 : 54 Approx.					Instructed a course of <293>. Recognized crossing point with Vessel A	
06 : 55 Approx.	<069>	2.53 nautical miles	Assumed crew of Vessel A were paying attention to the movement of Vessel B, because Master A and C/O A were watching the ECDIS. He also confirmed Vessel B visually by pointing. After that, he did not notice when Master A and C/O A were discussing port entry work at the sea chart table. Instructed vessel to steer to port side in order to head for Kobe Rokko Island East Waterway.		Concerned about decreasing CPA, but assumed that the vessel could pass the bow, according to the vector indicated on ARPA. Assumed that the vessel would r e a c h p o r t quicker if speed was increased to Nav. Full.	

Time	Vessel B s distance as from Vesse	bearing and s observed I A	Ve	ssel A	Ves	sel B
(nis:mins)	Bearing	Distance	Pilot A	Master A, C/O A, 3/O A and Cadet A	Master B	Navigation Officer B
06 : 57 Approx.	<067>	1.77 nautical miles	Because Vessel A was in the middle of reducing speed in relation to Vessel B, it was assumed that Vessel B could pass the bow, and Vessel A continued to steer to port side along with reducing speed. Did not notice Cadet A reporting.	Cadet A Reported to Pilot A and 3/ O A, because he was worried about a risk of collision with Vessel B Master A, 1/O A and 3/O Did not pay attention to Cadet A reporting.		
07 : 00 Approx.					Visually confirmed that Vessel A started steering to port side, felt there was a risk of collision, and instructed Nav. Full and hard to starboard 10°.	
07 : 01 Approx.	<057>	0.49 nautical miles	Sailing close to East Fairway, instructed to the main engine Half Ahead. Visually confirmed their position in relation to Vessel B. Ordered hard to starboard, because he felt there was a risk of collision with Vessel B.	Master A Heard Pilot As instructions hard to port, but when looking in the direction of the bow, felt there was a danger of collision.		
07 : 02 Approx.	Dange	rously ise	Did not respond to	Master A Instructed 3/O A D.Slow Ahead. 3/O A According to the Master s order, operated engine telegraph for D.Slow Ahead o Vessel B s VHF call Master A Operated engine telegraph for full speed sternway by himself	Blew a whistle	Called Vessel A by VHF. Called Vessel A by VHF.
07 :	02 : 49 App	rox.		Collision		

Attachment 10 Vessel A and Vessel B Collision Accident Summary of Related Facts

					Dir ca	rect use	Accid	Re-e
Reference No.		k	dentified problems fro	om survey findings	Unsafe behavio	Unsafe conditio	ent cause evaluati	camination necess
	Date	Time	Caused by	Check facts and problem areas	5	ns	l Ön	ity
1	XX May	05 : 00 Approx.	Pilot A	Felt that the crew of Vessel A had received thorough training in BRM and assumed them to be trustworthy. Also, assumed that Master A had a shared understanding of the navigation plan.	0		4	
2	XX May	06 : 44 Approx.	Pilot A	Visually confirmed Vessel B, but did not inform the Master of port radio information (Vessel B bound for RC-7).	0		3	
3	XX May	06 : 53 Approx.	Master A	Assumed that Vessel B would keep its distance when passing the starboard side of Vessel A.	0		5	
4	XX May	06 : 53 Approx.	Master A	0		6		
5	XX May	06 : 55 Approx.	Pilot A	Although he felt that there was no change of bearing between Vessel A and Vessel B, he assumed crew of Vessel A were paying attention to the movement of Vessel B, because Master A and 3/O A were watching the radar and ECDIS. Pilot A himself confirmed Vessel B visually by pointing.	0		1	
6	XX May	06 : 57 Approx.	Pilot A	Assumed that Vessel B would pass their bow, and continued to steer to port side.	0		2	
7	XX May	06:57 Approx.	Pilot A	Did not notice the Cadet reporting.	0		7	
8	XX May	06 : 57 Approx.	Master A and 3/O A	Did not notice the Cadet reporting earlier.	0		8	
9	XX May	07 : 02 Approx.	Pilot A, Master A and 3/O A	Did not respond to Vessel B s VHF call.	0		9	
10	XX May	06 : 57 Approx.	Master B	Was concerned about decreasing DCPA, but assumed that vessel B could pass the bow Vessel A, according to the predicted course Vessel A on the radar.	0		10	
11	XX May	06 : 57 Approx.	Master B	Assumed that the vessel would reach port quicker if speed was increased to Nav. Full.	0		11	
12			Master B and ship management company B	Did not instruct navigation officer to report and lookout thoroughly. (BRM is was not implemented)	0		12	0
13			Pilots Associations	Were the pilots obliged to take BRM training periodically?	0		13	
14			Master A	Non-compliance with Safety Management Code	0		14	0
15			Ship management company A	Non-compliance with Safety Management Code	0		15	0

Accident cause assessment: Prioritized according to the scale of the cause

Vessel A and B Collision Accident Maritime Accident Cause (Unsafe Behaviour): Pilot A

																			М	an		
		Hur	man fa	actor	(The v	ressel	, ship	owne	r and	ship r	nanag	emen	t com	pany)							
Ca	use (Unsafe behaviour)																					
						1	Psych	ologi	cal						2 E	motic	onal		3 (Organ	ization	al
									1													
In whic on th down Why each ing i man num Class	, write down a direct cause h was investigated based he facts. After , write he root cause using the Why Analysis. Then, circle applicable cause. Regard- terns other than Man (Hu- factors), netre the sub-item ber of each item in the 4M sification List.	Impulsive action	Forgetful	Habituation behaviour	Personal problems	Unconscious acts	Sense of urgency and sensitively	*-ntal shortcuts	Cuts corners	Judgement based on speculation	mstakes and perceptual illustu-	Habituation phenomenon	Personality	Fatigue	Lack of sleep	Alcohol, medicine or disease	Physical ability	Ageing	Posire and willingness	Leadership and teamwork	Communication	Intervention)
	Pilot A																					
1	1. Why was it assumed that the crew of vessel A had been thoroughly trained in BRM and that Master A had a shared understanding of the Passage Plan?									0		0							/		0	
	Was there not enough time to confirm?	0		0					0													
	Was it because the vessel belonged to his affiliated shipping company?									0	c											
2	2. Why was information on Vessel B not reported to Master A?			F			a		0	0				C	1	1-	R			0	0	
	Assumed that the Master understood because he al- so checked Vessel B.							0	0	0											0	0
5	5. Why did he think the crew were paying attention to Vessel B?							0	0	0										0	0	
	Why did he assume con- firmation was not need- ed because the crew were monitoring the ECDIS?	0				0			0	0										0	0	0
6	 6. Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass their bow, and continued to steer to port side? 			0				0	0	0	0	0										
	Why did he not check the change of relative bearing or DCPA?	0								0		0										
7	7. Why did he not notice Cadet A reporting?			0		0			0											0	0	
	Why did he not pay atten- tion to Cadet A as well?									0										0		
	Why did believe that Cadet A s skills were insufficient?			0						0												
9	9. Why did he not respond to Vessel B s VHF call?	0																				
Tota	I number of circled items	4		4		2		3	7	10	2	3								4	6	2

Summary of Related Facts No.

									Ma	in								Mac	hine		
						41	ndivid	lual e	kille							Mechanical factors such as ma- chinery not working properly or being out of order					
Ca	ause (Unsafe behaviour)					41		iuui 5	kiiio					5 Mana	gement		50	ing ou			
		4-1 I	nadeo	quate	knowl	edge	4-2 skills	Inade	quate	4-3 F	Poor v	vork e	thic	of neal workin ronr	itn and g envi- nent		Mai	nly on	the ve	ssel	
In whic on th down Why each ing i man num Class	, write down a direct cause h was investigated based he facts. After , write n the root cause using the Why Analysis. Then, circle applicable cause. Regard- terns other than Man (Hu- factors), enter the sub-item ber of each item in the 4M sification List.	Inadequate or inappropriate knowledge about the work to be carried out	Work content not understood or misunderstood	Lack of a sense of urgency and awareness	Mistakes regarding work procedure/ forgetfulness	Lacks basic knowledge of the work	Unaccustomed to work, inexperienced, inadequate skills	Not enough training	The belief that the work done is satisfactory, when objectively it is inadequate	Not "ready" to work	Intentionally dishonest regarding work, and breaks the rules	Covers up or tolerates dishonest work	Protective wear not worn	Health check not implemented prior to working	Tool box meeting was not implemented	Design flaw in the machinery	Defective protection against hazards	Lack of fundamental safety (design and ergonomic arrangement)	Lack of consideration regarding ergonomic factors	Lack of standardization	Lack of machinery and facility maintenance, etc.
	Pilot A																				
1	1. Why was it assumed that the crew of vessel A had been thoroughly trained in BRM and that Master A had a shared understanding of the Passage Plan?																				
	Was there not enough time to confirm?																				
	Was it because the vessel belonged to his affiliated shipping company?																				
2	2. Why was information on Vessel B not reported to Master A?																				
	Assumed that the Master understood because he al- so checked Vessel B.													(2	1-	2				
5	5. Why did he think the crew were paying attention to Vessel B?										Ρ				L						
	Why did he assume con- firmation was not need- ed because the crew were monitoring the ECDIS?																				
6	 Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass their bow, and continued to steer to port side? 																				
	Why did he not check the change of relative bearing or DCPA?																				
7	7. Why did he not notice Cadet A reporting?																				
	Why did he not pay atten- tion to Cadet A as well?																				
	Why did believe that Cadet As skills were insufficient?																				
9	9. Why did he not respond to Vessel B s VHF call?																				
Tota	I number of circled items																				

			Ν	/ledi	а						M	anag	jeme	ent					
Ca	ause (Unsafe behaviour)	Med	lia coni M	necting	g Man ery	with			I	Manag	jement	t facto	rs and	l orgar	nizatior	ı			
	, (,	The ship	vessel manag	l, ship Jemen	owner t comp	and any			On the	vesse	el.		Ship com	owner bany	and S	Ship m	ianage	ment	Necessity
In whic on t dow Why each ing i man num Clas	, write down a direct cause h was investigated based he facts. After , write n the root cause using the Why Analysis. Then, circle applicable cause. Regard- terns other than Man (Hu- factors), enter the sub-item ber of each item in the 4M sification List.	Lack of information regarding work to be carried out	Work preparedness/inadequate working conditions	Inappropriate work method	Inadequate work space	Poor working environment conditions	Inadequate management/ organization	Inadequate/incomplete regulations and procedure manual	Inadequate safety management planning	Lack of education and training	Inadequate layout arrangement	Inadequate supervision of his /her subordinates	Inadequate management/ organization	Inadequate/incomplete regulations and procedure manual	Inadequate safety management planning	Lack of education and training	Inadequate layout arrangement	Inadequate supervision of his/her subordinates	of re-investigation
	Pilot A																		
1	1. Why was it assumed that the crew of vessel A had been thoroughly trained in BRM and that Master A had a shared understanding of the Passage Plan?																		
	Was there not enough time to confirm?																		
	Was it because the vessel belonged to his affiliated shipping company?																		
2	2. Why was information on Vessel B not reported to Master A?																		
	Assumed that the Master understood because he al- so checked Vessel B.													2	1-	2.			
5	5. Why did he think the crew were paying attention to Vessel B?																		
	Why did he assume con- firmation was not need- ed because the crew were monitoring the ECDIS?			Ģ				a h a a				- 4h -							
6	6. Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass their bow, and continued to steer to port side?			n d	umb ents	er in 4M (Atta Class	chm ificat	ent 2 tion L	app -2 (N .ist)	lariti	ime /	Acci-	ſ					
	Why did he not check the change of relative bearing or DCPA?																		
7	7. Why did he not notice Cadet A reporting?																		
	Why did he not pay atten- tion to Cadet A as well?																		
	Why did believe that Cadet As skills were insufficient?																		
9	9. Why did he not respond to Vessel B s VHF call?																		
Tota	al number of circled items							1						1					

Vessel A and B Collision Accident Accident Cause (Unsafe Behaviour): Master A and Master B

																			М	lan		
		Hur	nan fa	actor	(The	/esse	l, ship	owne	r and	ship ı	manag	emen	it com	ipany)				-			
Cau	se (Unsafe behaviour)																					
						1	Psycl	nologi	cal						2 E	motic	nal		3 (Organ	izatior	nal
								_		_												
In caused b , cause Ana applitem mar item the	, write down a direct se which was investigat- ased on the facts After write down the root se using the Why Why yisis. Then, circle each icable cause. Regarding is other than Man (Hu- factors), enter the sub- number of each item in 4M Classification List.	Impulsive action	Forgetful	Habituation behaviour	Personal problems	Unconscious acts	Sense of urgency and sensitively	Mental shortcuts	Cuts corners	Judgement based on speculation	Mistakes and perceptual illusion	Habituation phenomenon	Personality	Fatigue	Lack of sleep	Alcohol, medicine or disease	Physical ability	Ageing	Desire and willingness	Leadership and teamwork	Communication	Commitment (responsible
	Master A Master of Vessel A)																					
3	3. Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass the starboard bow?									0												
	Why did he not continue monitoring Vessel B?	0						1	0													
4	4. Why did he not ask the pilot about the movement of Vessel B, and instead discuss port entry with C/O A?	0				>		0			0	0	9					5)		0	0	
	Why did he not re-con- firm the movement of Vessel B?							0	0	0												
8	8. Why did he not pay attention to Cadet A s reporting?			0		0			0											0	0	
	Why did believe that Cadet As skills were in- sufficient?			0						0												
	Total number of circled items	2		2		1		2	3	3	1	1								2	2	
(Master B Master of Vessel B)																					
10	10. Why did he think that Vessel B could pass the bow of Vessel A, even though he was concerned about the decreasing DCPA?	0								0		0										
	Why did he only not confirm the ARPA?					0		0	0	0												
	Why did he not have the Navigation Officer report on the change of relative bearing and so on?			0																0	0	
11	11. Why did he believe that the vessel would reach port quicker if speed was increased to Nav. Full?	0		0				0	0	0		0										
Total	number of circled items	2		2		1		2	2	3		2								1	1	

Summary of Related Facts No.

																		Mac	hine		
						41	م مالد با ما									Mee	chanic nery n	al fact ot wor	ors su king p	ch as i roperly	ma- y or
Cau	se (Unsafe behaviour)					41	naivia	ual si	ans					5 Mana	gement		be	ing ou		uer	
		4-1 I	nadeo	quate	know	ledge	4-2 l skills	nade	quate	4-3 F	oor v	vork e	thic	of hea workin ronr	lth and g envi- nent		Mai	nly on	the ve	essel	
In caus ed b , caus Anal appl item man item the	, write down a direct se which was investigat- ased on the facts After write down the root se using the Why Why ysis. Then, circle each icable cause. Regarding is other than Man (Hu- factors), enter the sub- number of each item in 4M Classification List.	Inadequate or inappropriate knowledge about the work to be carried out	Work content not understood or misunderstood	Lack of a sense of urgency and awareness	Mistakes regarding work procedure/ forgetfulness	Lacks basic knowledge of the work	Unaccustomed to work, inexperienced, inadequate skills	Not enough training	The belief that the work done is satisfactory, when objectively it is inadequate	Not "ready" to work	Intentionally dishonest regarding work, and breaks the rules	Covers up or tolerates dishonest work	Protective wear not worn	Health check not implemented prior to working	Tool box meeting was not implemented	Design flaw in the machinery	Defective protection against hazards	Lack of fundamental safety (design and ergonomic arrangement)	Lack of consideration regarding ergonomic factors	Lack of standardization	Lack of machinery and facility maintenance, etc.
	Master A Master of Vessel A)																				
3	3. Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass the starboard bow?																				
	Why did he not continue monitoring Vessel B?										n			(2		2`				
4	4. Why did he not ask the pilot about the movement of Vessel B, and instead discuss port entry with C/O A?																				
	Why did he not re-con- firm the movement of Vessel B?																				
8	8. Why did he not pay attention to Cadet A s reporting?																				
	Why did believe that Cadet As skills were in- sufficient?																				
	Total number of circled items																				
(Master B Master of Vessel B)																				
10	10. Why did he think that Vessel B could pass the bow of Vessel A, even though he was concerned about the decreasing DCPA?																				
	Why did he only not confirm the ARPA?																				
	Why did he not have the Navigation Officer report on the change of relative bearing and so on?																				
11	11. Why did he believe that the vessel would reach port quicker if speed was increased to Nav. Full?																				
Total	number of circled items																				

			P	Vedi	а						Ma	anag	jeme	ent					
Cau	se (Unsafe behaviour)	Med	ia con M	nectin achine	g Man ery	with				Manag	ement	facto	rs and	l orgar	nization	۱			
		The ship	vesse manaç	l, ship gemen	owne t comp	r and bany		,	On the	vesse	el		Ship com	owner pany	and S	Ship m	anage	ment	Necessity
In cause ed b , cause Anal appl item man item	, write down a direct se which was investigat- lased on the facts After write down the root se using the Why Why ysis. Then, circle each icable cause. Regarding s other than Man (Hu- factors), enter the sub- number of each item in 4M Classification List.	Lack of information regarding work to be carried out	Work preparedness/inadequate working conditions	Inappropriate work method	Inadequate work space	Poor working environment conditions	Inadequate management/ organization	Inadequate/incomplete regulations and procedure manual	Inadequate safety management planning	Lack of education and training	Inadequate layout arrangement	Inadequate supervision of his /her subordinates	Inadequate management/ organization	Inadequate/incomplete regulations and procedure manual	Inadequate safety management planning	Lack of education and training	Inadequate layout arrangement	Inadequate supervision of his/her subordinates	of re-investigation
(Master A Master of Vessel A)																		
3	3. Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass the starboard bow?																		
	Why did he not continue monitoring Vessel B?																		
4	4. Why did he not ask the pilot about the movement of Vessel B, and instead discuss port entry with C/O A?																		0
	Why did he not re-con- firm the movement of Vessel B?																		
8	8. Why did he not pay attention to Cadet A s reporting?					X	a	r	n	D		0			3/	3	3)		
	Why did believe that Cadet As skills were in- sufficient?																		
	Total number of circled items							1					1						
	Master B																		
10	10. Why did he think that Vessel B could pass the bow of Vessel A, even though he was concerned about the decreasing DCPA?					ſ	The I num dent	numl ber i s 4M	ber ir n Att Clas	n the achn sifica	circl nent ation	e apj 2-2 (List)	olies Mari	to th time	ne Acci	-			
	Why did he only not confirm the ARPA?																		
	Why did he not have the Navigation Officer report on the change of relative bearing and so on?																		0
11	11. Why did he believe that the vessel would reach port quicker if speed was increased to Nav. Full?																		
Total	number of circled items							1					1						

Vessel A and Vessel B Collision Accident Analysis using 4M5E and Countermeasure List (Unsafe behaviour): Pilot A

	Man	Machine	Media	Ma	nagement
	The vessel, shipowner and ship management company	Mainly on the vessel	The vessel, shipowner and ship manage- ment company	On the vessel	Shipowner and ship man- agement company
Risk factors (Direct cause and indirect/ root cause)	 Psychological Why was it assumed that the crew of vessel A had been thoroughly trained in BTM and that Master A had a shared understanding of the Passage Plan? (1-, and ~) Why was information on Vessel B not reported to Master A? (1-~) Why did he think the crew were paying attention to Vessel B? (1-, and ~) Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass their bow, and continued to steer to port side? (1-, and) Why did he not notice Cadet A reporting? (1- and) Why did he not notice Cadet A reporting? (1- and) Organizational Related Facts 1, 2, 5, 5, 7 and 9 Why could he not exert leadership as a conning officer? Why could he not communicate with the Master? 			13. Incom- plete BRM including pilot (2-)	 Incomplete BRM including pilot (2-) Not enough training about psychological factors invites human error (2-)
Education Education and training Knowledge, skills, con- sciousness, being given in- formation, etc	Cause • Human beings face difficulty thinking dif- ferently about something once they have it set in their mind. • The pilot is also a member of the Bridge. It would have been naive not to have considered him part of the BTM struc- ture. Recurrence Prevention Countermeasures • BTM re-training • Training in psychology (mental state of mind)				
Engineering Technology and engineering Engineering countermeasure					
Enforcement Thorough guidance and en- forcement Standardization, procedur- alization, alerting, reward and punishment KYT, Cam- pagnes etc					Recurrence Prevention Countermeasures • Thorough guidance and creation of pro- cedure manual for pi- lotage regarding BRM (Pilots associations)
Examples Case studies, countermeas- ures and rules Lead by example, experience of success, introduce mod- el cases, "Hiyari-Hatto" (near misses), etc.					Recurrence Prevention Countermeasures Introduce model cas- es, BRM training and training that cov- ers mental state of mind(Pilots associa- tions)
Environment Working environment, office internal management, on- board organization, etc.					

Each item number (bold and red coloured) corresponds to the Summary of Related Facts No. in the Attachment 3 The number applies to the number in Attachment 2-2 (Maritime Accidents 4M Classification List)

Vessel A and B Collision Accident Analysis using 4M5E and Countermeasure List (Unsafe behaviour): Master A and Master B $\,$

	Man	Machine	Media	Manag	gement
	The vessel, shipowner and ship manage- ment company	Mainly on the vessel	The vessel, shipowner and ship management company	On the vessel	Shipowner and ship management company
Risk factors (Direct cause and indirect/root cause)	 Master A Psychological Why did he assume that Vessel B would pass the starboard bow, without continuously monitoring Vessel B? Why did he start discussing port entry work with C/O A? Why did he not pay attention to Cadet As reporting? (1 - , , and ~) Organizational factors (Related Facts No. 3, 4, 8 and 9) Why could he not exert leadership as a Master A? Why could he not communicate with the Ship's Bridge personnel including Pilot A? Master B Psychological Why did he think that Vessel B could pass the bow of Vessel A even though he was concerned about the decreasing DCPA? (1- , and) Why did he believe that the vessel would reach port quicker if speed was increased to Nav. Full? (1 - , , ~ and) Organizational (Related Facts No. 10 and 11) Why could he not exert leadership as a Master B 			 Vessel A 14. Why did he not comply with the Safety Manage- ment Code? (2-) 4. Why did he inter- rupt lookout duty to start discuss- ing port entry work with C/O A in the middle of S/B? (2-) Vessel B 12. Did not instruct navigation of- ficer to report and lookout thoroughly. (BRM was not implemented) (2-) 	Ship management company A 15. Why did he not comply with the Safety Manage- ment Code? (1-) 4. Why did he inter- rupt lookout duty to start discussing port entry work with C/O A in the middle of S/B? (1-) Ship management company B 12. Did not instruct navigation officer to report and lookout thor- oughly. (BRM was not imple- mented) (2-)
Education Education and training Knowledge, skills, consciousness, being given information, etc	Master A Cause - Human beings face difficulty thinking differently about something once they have it set in their mind. - Collapse of communication (the foundation of BTM) - Mistakes regarding work prioritization Recurrence Prevention Countermeasures - BTM re-training (especially leadership training) - Re-training of Safety Management Code (SMS) Master B - Human beings face difficulty thinking differently about something once they have it set in their mind. - Collapse of communication (the foundation of BTM) Recurrence Prevention Countermeasures - BTM re-training (especially leadership training) - Re-training of Safety Management Code (SMS)				

	Man	Machine	Media	Manag	gement
	The vessel, shipowner and ship manage- ment company	Mainly on the vessel	The vessel, shipowner and ship management company	On the vessel	Shipowner and ship management company
Engineering					
Technology and engineering Engineering countermeasure					
Enforcement Thorough guidance and enforcement Standardization, proceduralization, alerting, reward and punishment KYT, Campagnes etc				Vessel A • Review and thorough compliance with work procedure regarding the Safety Management Code (SMS) when a Pilot is on board Vessel B • Review and comply with the Safety Management Code regarding duties on departure and entry, narrow channels, reduced visibility and so on.	Ship management company A • Review, training and education and make the work procedure commonly known regarding the Safety Management Code (SMS) when a Pilot is on board (duty system) Ship management company B • Review, training and education and make the Safety Management Code commonly known regarding duties on departure and entry, narrow channels, reduced visibility and so on.
Examples					
Case studies, countermeasures and rules Lead by example, experience of success, introduce model cases, "Hiyari-Hatto" (near misses), etc.					
Environment Working environment, office internal management, on- board organization, etc.					

Each item number (bold and red coloured) corresponds to the Summary of Related Facts No. in the Attachment 3

The number applies to the number in Attachment 2-2 (Maritime Accidents 4M Classification List)

Vessel A and B Collision Accident Human Behavioural Traits and Human Error (Psychological Analysis)

Time	Movement	Who?	Behaviour	Human characteristics	P sychology
06 : 10	Vessel A After passing Tomogashima Channel, changed course to the northeast for Kobe Rokko Island Berth.	Pilot A	From past experience as a pilot, he assumed the crew of Vessel A to be trustworthy.	Human beings sometimes make assumptions	Confirmation bias People unconsciously collect information that supports what they believe.
		Pilot A	Assumed that Master A had a shared understanding of the navigation plan.	Human beings sometimes make assumptions Human beings are sometimes lazy. Did not explain procedure sufficiently enough to the Master after boarting	Normalcy bias Assumed everything would be fine, because this method had been fine up until now. Confirmation bias Only collected information that
06 : 45 Approx.		Pilot A	Informed port radio via VHF of the approximate time he would be passing through the breakwater to RC- 7. Obtained information from Vessel B. Did not report it to the Master.	Human beings sometimes forget Forgot though he learned the effectiveness of sharing information during BTM training. Human beings are sometimes lazy. Thought that it would be too tedious to explain the procedure to the Master.	supported what what he/she believed. Social loafing Thought he need not explain and that someone else would notice later.
06 : 52 Approx.	Vessel B After passing Osaka Offshore Landfill Site (Osaka Bay Phoenix Center), the Master steered to starboard heading for Kobe Central Fairway.	Master B	Steered to starboard without checking the movement of Vessel A.	Human beings sometimes do not notice Human beings have moments of inattention Human beings are sometimes only able to see one thing at a time Human beings are sometimes in a hurry Although Master B understood that there might have been a risk of collision if he steered to starboard, he was concerned about entering port late if he was to follow the originally scheduled course.	Normalcy bias People ignore negative information and underestimate phenomena saying "I m special, nothing can hurt me!"
06 : 53 Approx.	Vessel A After passing Tomogashima Channel, changed course to the northeast for Kobe Rokko Island Berth.	Master of A	Visually confirmed Vessel B at approximately 25.0 degrees on its starboard bow. Because Master A did not hear from the Pilot that Vessel B would head for Kobe Central Fairway, he assumed that there would be no risk of collision judging by his vessels relative position with the other ship and that it would be heading in a southwest direction (Outgoing Osaka Bay). Started discussing port entry work with 1/O A.	Human beings have moments of inattention Human beings sometimes make assumptions Human beings are sometimes lazy. Did not confirm movement of Vessel A. Human beings are sometimes only able to see one thing at a time Prioritizing tasks proved to be difficult.	Normalcy bias People unconsciously collect information that supports what they believe. Confirmation bias Only collected information that supported what what he/she believed. (Thought it was fine because she crossed the stern of the Vessel B. Social loafing Assumed that Pilot A would take care of the entire procedure. Confirmation bias Social loafing Assumed that the Pilot A would take care of the entire procedure.

Time	Movement	Who?	Behaviour	Human characteristics	P sychology		
06 : 55 Approx.	Vessel A Headed for the	Pilot A	Assumed crew of Vessel A were paying attention to the movement of Vessel B, because Master A and 1/O A were watching the ECDIS. Pilot A himself confirmed Vessel B visually by pointing.	Human beings sometimes make assumptions	Social loafing Assumed bridge shift personnel were		
	entrance of Kobe Rokko Island East			Human beings are sometimes lazy.	paying attention.		
	started steering to port side			Because of this assumption, he did not instruct crew clearly.			
			Did not notice when the	Human beings sometimes do	Confirmation bias		
			discussing port entry work at the sea chart table.	not notice	Thought that the situation was not as sever as it may have seemed.		
			Instructed vessel to steer to	Human beings have moments	Normalcy bias		
			for Kobe Rokko Island East Waterway.	Started steering to port side while cutting across.	Assumed everything would be fine, because this method had been fine up until now.		
				Human beings sometimes make assumptions	People ignore negative information and underestimate phenomena sayin		
				Assumed that the vessel could pass the bow of Vessel B, as they were reducing speed.	"I'm special, nothing can hurt me!"		
06:57	Vessel A Headed for the entrance of Kobe Rokko Island	Pilot A	Because Vessel A was in the middle of reducing speed in relation to Vessel B, it was assumed that Vessel B could pass the bow, and Vessel A continued to steer to port side along with reducing speed.	Human beings sometimes	Normalcy bias		
Approx.				Assumed that the vessel could pass the bow of Vessel B, as they were reducing speed.	Assumed everything would be fine, because this method had been fine up until now.		
	East Waterway and started steering to port side				People ignore negative information and underestimate phenomena saying "I m special, nothing can hurt me!"		
			A, Did not notice Cadet A reporting. d	Human beings sometimes do not notice	Psychological reactance		
		A and 3/O A			Did not trust Cadet As reporting. Did not want to do what he was told.		
					This may be the so called cocktail- party effect.		
	Vessel B Steered north- westerly heading for the entrance of Kobe	Master B	Ster Concerned about decreasing CPA, but assumed that the vessel could pass the bow, according to the vector indicated on ARPA.	Human beings sometimes make assumptions	Normalcy bias		
westerly he the entran Central Fai		ce of Kobe irway		Human beings have moments of inattention	and underestimate phenomena saying "I m special, nothing can hurt me!"		
	Central Fairway			Human beings are sometimes lazy.	-		
				Human beings are sometimes only able to see one thing at a time			
				Only confirmed information via ECDIS and ARPA			

Vessel E Öshima Bridge Collision Accident: Human Characteristics, Human Error and Psychology

Date and time	Movement	Who?	Behaviour Human characteristics		Psychology			
			Created Passage Plan: Onsan - Etajima					
	Navigating en route to Qingdao.		 2/O E did not confirm information regarding Obatake-Seto (including 	Human beings sometimes forget: Forgot the procedures of the Safety Management Code				
			bridge beam height) using pilot directions	Human beings are sometimes lazy: Knew the procedure, but cut corners	Normalcy bias Human beings have the characteristic to underestimate			
			Worked according to the following procedure when creating a Passage Plan		or ignore information regarding him or herself.			
13 Oct. approx.		2/0 E	1) Created using software for ordering charts h Human beings sometimes make mistakes: The software was not for creating Passage Plans Copied the data over to the ECDIS Human beings are sometimes lazy: Knew the procedure, but cut corners		Peer pressure • Human beings are prone to make a judgement or decision influenced by somebody elses i deas and			
							3) Did not input Draft and Air Draft data into the ECDIS	Human beings are sometimes careless, Human beings sometimes forget
			As a result, although some warnings were detected by the route check function of ECDIS, as the vessels Draft and Air Draft had not been input, the warning for Óshima Bridge showed up as "Unconfirmed" and was thus overlooked.		 When normalcy bias and peer pressure are combined, a deviation from what was the standard occurs. Then, as a result, and in no time at all, this then becomes the new standard. 			
				When moored at Qingdao	Dred ao Master E	The next Master E took over from the previous Master		Normalay bias
16 Oct. approx	 The previous Master had checked and signed the Passage Plan document for Qingdao under his command. He on- ly checked a summary of the Passage Plan between Qingdao-Onsan, and Onsan-Etajima, and did not sign for it. 	Human beings are sometimes lazy: Neglected to take over properly	Human beings have the characteristic to underestimate or ignore information regarding him or herself.					
	 Master E believed that the previous Master had confirmed this because the Passage Plan had already been created. 	Human beings sometimes make assumptions: It was assumed that the previous Master had approved the Passage Plan up until completion of voyage discharge	Social loafing There is the psychological tendency to cut corners in the belief that someone else will take care of it					
20 Oct. approx	When moored at the port of Onsan		The Master E checked the Passage Plan between Onsan-Etajima with 2/0 E using the ECDIS. However, this was not carried out in detail	Human beings sometimes make assumptions: Based on the above, he assumed that the Passage Plan had been entered into the ECDIS correctly				
				Human beings are sometimes lazy: Knew the procedure, but cut corners				
21 Oct.	21 Oct.							
08:30	Departed the port of Onsan.			No specific problem	No specific problem			
22:00	The west of Heigun Island	Master E	Manned the bridge in preparation for navigating the narrow channel					
22 Oct.								

Date and time	Movement	Who?	Behaviour	Human characteristics	Psychology		
		2/0 E	Duty take over from 3/0 E		Confirmation bias		
00:00	Öshima (west of Yashiro Island)	Master E	As Master E felt uneasy about the height of the bridge, he ordered 2/O E to confirm it.	Human beings are sometimes careless: Master E could not reconfirm in advance. Human beings sometimes do not notice, Human beings sometimes forget At the time of approving the Passage Plan, it was believed that preparation for navigating the narrow channel had been carried out, thus no double check was conducted	There is the psychological tendency to underestimate something People are unconsciously prone to believe only "what they want to believe" and "information that supports what they believe" rather than purposefully seeking information to the contrary. When investigating two conflicting opinions, there is a tendency to set a high value on affirmative information, disvalue or even take no notice of negative information.		
		2/0 E	2/O E tried in vain to ascertain information regarding the height of the bridge beam using pilot directions	Human beings sometimes panic Had he remained calm, he may have been able to have confirmed it, but instead panicked	Panick It is said that self-induced panic tends to occur when there are high levels of mental stress among the group, especially in an emergency. Unable to calmly judge the situation, this leads to the		
00:09	Ōshima (north west of Yashiro Island)	2/0 E	Tried to check the height of the bridge beam operating the ECDIS, but did not notice the bridge beams height which	Human beings sometimes do not notice, Human beings sometimes panic			
			was displayed	Had he remained caim, he may have been able to have confirmed it, but instead panicked	• When there is imminent threat to one s values or		
00:11	Ōshima (north west of Yashiro Island)	Master E 2/0 E	Bridge manning checked for bridge lights, but were unable to see them due to it being too dark.	Human beings sometimes panic Was unable to calmly judge the situation at hand	 oneself. There was no solution Even if there were a solution, it would have only benefited a limited 		
		Master E	Master E worried about being pressed by the westerly current. Continued to navigate to the east at half ahead	Human beings sometimes panic Abort Point: Was there a clear plan if the Passage Plan got interrupted or if there were non-returnable points? (Re-examination necessary)	number of crew. (E.g. There was only one exit, or limited capacity) • The sound of an explosion was heard.		
00:26	Shortly before Hakata-Ōshi- ma Bridge	ly before ta-Ōshi- Bridge 2/0 E 2/0 E 2/0 E the AB responded to the order. 2008 Took right to manoeuvre instead of Master 2008 Master 2009					
00:27	Shortly before Hakata-Ōshi- ma Bridge	Master E	Shortly after Master E ordered midships, the 1st, 3rd and 4th cranes and the aft mast collided with the bridge in succession.	Panicked The entire bridge team panicked, and were unable to calmly judge the situation.			
00:36	East of Hakata-Ōshi- ma Bridge	East of Hakata-Ōshi- Master E ma Bridge	Although Master E made a call to the agency requesting them to report this to the Japan Coast Guard, the person in charge at the agency could not hear what was being explained well, thus it did not get reported				
			waster E kept navigating because it seemed that there was no appropriate point of anchor in the vicinity and it would be safe to continue to the destination				
04:00	Off the Port of Kure.	Master E	Started anchor mooring				

Maritime Accident Summary of Related Facts (Collision with Ōshima Bridge)

		Identified problems from survey findings					Ac	Re
Reference No.							cident cause evaluati	-examination necessi
	Date	Time	Caused by	Check facts and problem areas			n	ţ
1	13 Oct. approx.			Created Passage Plan: Onsan - Etajima without checking the bridge beam height of Ōshima Bridge. Abort Point procedure was unclear	0		1	0
			2/O E	Did not input Draft, Air Draft and Safety isobaths data into the ECDIS				
				Created Passage Plan using nautical chart ordering software and copied the data over to the ECDIS as is				
2	16 Oct.		Master E	Believed that the previous Master had checked and signed the Passage Plan both between Qingdao-Onsan and between Onsan-Etajima.	0		5	
3	20 Oct.		Master E and 2/O E	Passage Plan between Onsan-Etajima were not confirmed in detail on the ECDIS.	0		2	
4	22 Oct.	00:00	Master E	As Master E felt uneasy about the height of the Ōshima Bridge, he ordered his 2/O E to confirm it.	0		4	
5	22 Oct.	00:00	2/0 E	2/O E did not confirm bridge beam height using pilot directions and the ECDIS	0		3	
6	22 Oct.	00:11	Master E	Continued navigating without confirming the height of the bridge beam	0		6	
7			Ship management company E	No intervention was taken into account whatsoever, regarding the vessel s Passage Plan	0		6	

Accident cause assessment: Prioritized according to the scale of the cause